

EXHIBIT B (Commonwealth Edison Company)
Schedule 1 – Foreign Guarantor Requirement

An entity that is proposing to serve as a Guarantor under a Guaranty, but that has not been formed or organized under the laws of a state of the United States or the District of Columbia, must meet the following additional requirements in order to be recognized as an acceptable Guarantor:

1. Such entity must deliver a legal opinion (“*Opinion*”) of a law firm or a counsel, in either case who is not an employee of such entity or any of its affiliates or subsidiaries and who is authorized and qualified to practice law and render legal opinions in the foreign jurisdiction in which such entity is formed or organized. The *Opinion* shall meet the minimum content requirements specified below.
2. Such entity must deliver the sworn certificate of the corporate secretary (or similar or comparable officer) of such entity that the person executing the Guaranty on behalf of such entity has the authority to execute the Guaranty on behalf of such entity and that the governing board of such entity has approved the execution and delivery of the Guaranty.
3. Such entity must deliver the sworn certificate of the corporate secretary (or similar or comparable officer) of such entity that such entity has been authorized by its governing board to enter into agreements of the same type as the Guaranty.
4. Such entity must maintain an agent for acceptance of service of process in the United States. By executing and delivering the Guaranty, such entity agrees that service of any process in any claim or proceeding relating to the Guaranty may be made or served upon such entity by United States mail (postage prepaid).
5. The country in which such entity is domiciled must have a long-term sovereign (or equivalent) rating of AA+/Aa1 from at least two of the following rating agencies: S&P, Moody’s or Fitch. Each rating agency’s sovereign rating for the domicile country will be considered to be the lowest of: country ceiling, senior unsecured government debt, long-term foreign currency sovereign rating, long-term local currency sovereign rating, or other equivalent measure.
6. Such entity must pay for all expenses incurred by Party B related to reviewing and acceptance of the documents to be delivered with the Guaranty as provided in paragraphs 1 to 3 (inclusive) above; provided, however, that such payment shall not exceed \$10,000.

Once the *Opinion* has been provided and accepted as sufficient by Party B, in lieu of repeating the above process, the proposed Guarantor may re-certify its status in a subsequent procurement event if there have been no changes that would have altered that *Opinion*. To re-certify, the proposed Guarantor must provide a current letter by its Corporate Secretary (or equal / higher Corporate Officer) that it certifies that there have been no changes in its status which would adversely affect the enforceability of the Guaranty, since the time that the original *Opinion* was rendered.

Party B shall have sole and absolute discretion, without liability or recourse to the proposed Guarantor or Party A, to evaluate the sufficiency of the documents submitted by such proposed Guarantor pursuant to the requirements of this Schedule 2B. The following minimum requirements are to be met by the *Opinion* mentioned in paragraph 1 above:

- (a) The *Opinion* must be in English.
- (b) The *Opinion* should contain a recitation of the documents that have been reviewed by such counsel as a basis for the opinions expressed. Such recitations should include statements that (i) counsel has reviewed the organizational documents for the entity in question and has reviewed the legal requirements and agreement(s) in question (i.e., the REC Contract, the Master Renewable Energy Certificate Purchase and Sale Agreement, the Guaranty, the Rules and associated Appendices, Exhibits and Schedules), (ii) counsel has considered any necessary corporate, regulatory or governmental authorizations or approvals that may be required as a condition to the entity entering into and performing the Guaranty and (iii) counsel has reviewed evidence provided by the entity, which evidence has been satisfactorily identified or certified to counsel, of such corporate, regulatory and governmental authorizations or approvals.

- (c) Based upon the review described in the preceding paragraph (b), the Opinion should reach the legal conclusions that: (i) under the law of the jurisdiction where the entity is organized, the necessary steps have been taken to cause the Guaranty, when executed and delivered on behalf of the entity, to become a valid and enforceable obligation of that entity, (ii) the Guaranty, when executed and delivered on behalf of the entity, will be, to the extent that the law of the entity's jurisdiction of organization is applicable to the enforcement of the entity's obligations thereunder, a valid and enforceable obligation of that entity, enforceable against it in accordance with its terms, subject to any enumerated customary exceptions under the law of such jurisdiction, and (iii) under law of the jurisdiction where the entity is organized, the choice of [Illinois][New York] law to govern the Guaranty is valid and enforceable against such entity.

In rendering its opinions within the Opinion, counsel may state that it is not rendering any opinion with respect to the laws of the state of [Illinois][New York], which govern the Guaranty.

The following text provides an illustration of how the requirements in paragraphs (a) through (c) (inclusive) above might be presented in an opinion of counsel:

[Description of transaction background/reason for delivering opinion]

We are familiar with the proceedings taken by [entity] in connection with the Guaranty and the transactions contemplated thereby. In connection with the opinions hereinafter expressed, we have reviewed originals, or copies of originals certified to our satisfaction, of (i) [describe the organizational or governing documents of the entity], (ii) a certificate of the [corporate secretary (or similar or comparable officer)] of [entity] that the person executing the Guaranty on behalf of [entity] has the authority to execute and deliver the Guaranty and that the governing board of [entity] has approved the execution and delivery of the Guaranty, (iii) a certificate of the [corporate secretary (or similar or comparable officer)] of [entity] that [entity] has been authorized by its governing board to enter into agreements of the same type as the Guaranty, (iv) the Guaranty, (v) the REC Contract, and (vi) [describe any other relevant documents]. We have considered the governmental or regulatory approvals that may be applicable to the execution, delivery and performance of the Guaranty by [entity]. We have also examined such questions of law and have satisfied ourselves as to such matters of fact as we have considered relevant and necessary as a basis for the opinions hereinafter expressed.

Based upon the foregoing, and subject to the assumptions, limitations and qualifications hereinafter stated, it is our opinion that:

1. Under the law of [jurisdiction of organization or formation], [entity] has taken all necessary action to cause the Guaranty, when executed and delivered on behalf of [entity], to become a valid and binding obligation of [entity]
2. The Guaranty, when executed and delivered on behalf of [entity], will be, to the extent that the law of [jurisdiction of organization or formation] is applicable to the enforcement of [entity's] obligations thereunder, the valid and binding obligation of [entity], enforceable against [entity] in accordance with its terms, except as such enforceability may be affected by [describe any exceptions].
3. The choice of the parties to the Guaranty to have the laws of the state of [Illinois][New York] govern the enforceability of the parties' obligations under the Guaranty is valid and enforceable against [entity] under the laws of [jurisdiction of organization or formation].

[Concluding paragraphs and signature]